

# R<sup>2</sup>-IRREDUCIBLE UNIVERSAL COVERING SPACES OF P<sup>2</sup>-IRREDUCIBLE OPEN 3-MANIFOLDS

ROBERT MYERS

**ABSTRACT.** An irreducible open 3-manifold  $W$  is **R<sup>2</sup>-irreducible** if it contains no non-trivial planes, i.e. given any proper embedded plane  $\Pi$  in  $W$  some component of  $W - \Pi$  must have closure an embedded halfspace  $\mathbf{R}^2 \times [0, \infty)$ . In this paper it is shown that if  $M$  is a connected, **P<sup>2</sup>-irreducible**, open 3-manifold such that  $\pi_1(M)$  is finitely generated and the universal covering space  $\widetilde{M}$  of  $M$  is **R<sup>2</sup>-irreducible**, then either  $\widetilde{M}$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}^3$  or  $\pi_1(M)$  is a free product of infinite cyclic groups and fundamental groups of closed, connected surfaces other than  $S^2$  or  $\mathbf{P}^2$ . Given any finitely generated group  $G$  of this form, uncountably many **P<sup>2</sup>-irreducible**, open 3-manifolds  $M$  are constructed with  $\pi_1(M) \cong G$  such that the universal covering space  $\widetilde{M}$  is **R<sup>2</sup>-irreducible** and not homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}^3$ ; the  $\widetilde{M}$  are pairwise non-homeomorphic. Relations are established between these results and the conjecture that the universal covering space of any irreducible, orientable, closed 3-manifold with infinite fundamental group must be homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}^3$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose  $M$  is a connected, **P<sup>2</sup>-irreducible**, open 3-manifold with  $\pi_1(M)$  finitely generated and non-trivial. It is easy to construct examples of such  $M$  for which the universal covering space  $\widetilde{M}$  is not homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}^3$ . Start with any 3-manifold  $N$  satisfying the given conditions. Let  $U$  be a **Whitehead manifold**, i.e. an irreducible, contractible, open 3-manifold which is not homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}^3$  (see e.g. [16], [4]). Choose end-proper embeddings of  $[0, \infty)$  in each of  $N$  and  $U$ . (A map between manifolds is **end-proper** if pre-images of compact sets are compact; it is  **$\partial$ -proper** if the pre-image of the boundary is the boundary; it is **proper** if it has both these properties. These terms are applied to a submanifold if its inclusion map has the corresponding property.) Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be the exteriors of these rays. (The **exterior** of a submanifold is the closure of the complement of a regular neighborhood of it.)  $\partial X$  and  $\partial Y$  are each planes. We identify them to obtain a **P<sup>2</sup>-irreducible** open 3-manifold  $M$  with  $\pi_1(M) \cong \pi_1(N)$ . Let  $p : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$  be the universal covering map.

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 57M10; Secondary: 57N10, 57M60.

*Key words and phrases.* 3-manifold, open 3-manifold, **P<sup>2</sup>-irreducible**, **R<sup>2</sup>-irreducible**, universal covering space, contractible open 3-manifold, Whitehead manifold.

Research at MSRI is supported in part by NSF grant DMS-9022140.

Then  $\widetilde{M}$ ,  $p^{-1}(X)$ , and  $p^{-1}(Y)$  are  $\mathbf{P}^2$ -irreducible [5]. Each component  $\widetilde{Y}$  of  $p^{-1}(Y)$  has interior  $\widetilde{U}$  homeomorphic to  $U$  and so contains a compact, connected subset  $J$  which does not lie in a 3-ball in  $\widetilde{U}$ . If  $\widetilde{M}$  were homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}^3$  then  $J$  would lie in a 3-ball  $B$  in  $\widetilde{M}$ . Standard general position and minimality arguments applied to  $\partial B$  and  $\partial \widetilde{Y}$  would then yield a 3-ball  $B'$  in  $\widetilde{U}$  containing  $J$ , a contradiction. Alternatively, one could use the Tucker Compactification Theorem [14] to obtain a compact polyhedron  $K$  in  $\widetilde{U}$  such that some component  $V$  of  $\widetilde{U} - K$  has non-finitely generated fundamental group. But this is impossible since the union of  $V$  and  $\widetilde{M} - \widetilde{U}$  is a component of  $\widetilde{M} - K$  whose fundamental group is isomorphic to  $\pi_1(V)$ .

In this example  $\partial \widetilde{Y}$  is a **non-trivial plane** in  $\widetilde{M}$ , i.e. a proper plane  $\Pi$  such that no component of  $\widetilde{M} - \Pi$  has closure homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}^2 \times [0, \infty)$  with  $\Pi = \mathbf{R}^2 \times \{0\}$ . This paper shows that it is harder to find examples if one rules out this behavior by requiring that  $\widetilde{M}$  be  **$\mathbf{R}^2$ -irreducible** in the sense that, in addition to being irreducible, it contains no non-trivial planes.

Define a **closed surface group** to be the fundamental group of a closed, connected 2-manifold.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $M$  be a connected,  $\mathbf{P}^2$ -irreducible, open 3-manifold with  $\pi_1(M)$  finitely generated. If the universal covering space  $\widetilde{M}$  of  $M$  is  $\mathbf{R}^2$ -irreducible, then either*

- (1)  $\widetilde{M}$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}^3$  or
- (2)  $\pi_1(M)$  is a free product of infinite cyclic groups and infinite closed surface groups.

The second possibility can be disjoint from the first.

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose  $G$  is a free product of finitely many infinite cyclic groups and infinite closed surface groups. Then there is a  $\mathbf{P}^2$ -irreducible open 3-manifold  $M$  such that  $\pi_1(M) \cong G$  and  $\widetilde{M}$  is an  $\mathbf{R}^2$ -irreducible Whitehead manifold. Moreover, for each given  $G$  there are uncountably many such  $M$  for which the  $\widetilde{M}$  are pairwise non-homeomorphic.*

This generalizes an example of Scott and Tucker [12] for which  $G$  is infinite cyclic.

These results have a bearing on the following well-known problem.

**Conjecture 1** (Universal Covering Conjecture). *Let  $X$  be a closed, connected, irreducible, orientable 3-manifold with  $\pi_1(X)$  infinite. Then the universal covering space  $\widetilde{X}$  of  $X$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}^3$ .*

Since there are only countably many homeomorphism types of closed 3-manifolds Theorem 2 implies that there must exist uncountably many  $\mathbf{R}^2$ -irreducible Whitehead manifolds  $\widetilde{M}$  which cover open 3-manifolds  $M$  with  $\pi_1(M) \cong G$  but cannot cover a

closed 3-manifold. This generalizes a result of Tinsley and Wright [13] which shows that there must exist uncountably many non- $\mathbf{R}^2$ -irreducible Whitehead manifolds  $\widetilde{M}$  which cover open 3-manifolds  $M$  with  $\pi_1(M)$  infinite cyclic but cannot cover a closed 3-manifold. Unfortunately this argument does not provide any *specific* such examples. Specific examples of non- $\mathbf{R}^2$ -irreducible Whitehead manifolds  $\widetilde{M}$  which cover open 3-manifolds  $M$  with  $\pi_1(M)$  infinite cyclic or, more generally, a countable free group, but cannot cover a closed 3-manifold are given in [9] and [10], respectively. At the time of this writing the problem of providing specific examples of  $\mathbf{R}^2$ -irreducible Whitehead manifolds which non-trivially cover other open 3-manifolds but cannot cover a closed 3-manifold is still open.

One can make several conjectures related to Conjecture 1. We consider the selection below. In all of them  $G$  is assumed to be a finitely generated group of covering translations acting on a Whitehead manifold  $W$  with quotient a 3-manifold  $M$ .

**Conjecture 2.**  *$G$  is a free product of infinite cyclic groups and fundamental groups of  $\partial$ -irreducible Haken manifolds.*

**Conjecture 3.**  *$G$  is a free group or contains an infinite closed surface group.*

**Conjecture 4.** *If  $W$  is  $\mathbf{R}^2$ -irreducible, then  $G$  is a free product of infinite cyclic groups and infinite closed surface groups.*

A proper plane  $\Pi$  in  $W$  is **equivariant** if for each  $g \in G$  either  $g(\Pi) = \Pi$  or  $\Pi \cap g(\Pi) = \emptyset$ .

**Conjecture 5** (Special Equivariant Plane Conjecture). *If  $G$  is not a free product of infinite cyclic groups and infinite closed surface groups, then  $W$  contains a non-trivial equivariant plane.*

**Conjecture 6** (Equivariant Plane Conjecture). *If  $W$  contains a non-trivial plane, then it contains a non-trivial equivariant plane.*

These conjectures are related as follows.

**Theorem 3.**  $(4) \Leftarrow (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) \Leftrightarrow (5) \Leftarrow (4 + 6)$

Theorems 1 and 3 are proven in section 2. Theorem 2 is proven in sections 3–7. Section 3 presents a modified version of the criterion used by Scott and Tucker [12] for showing that a 3-manifold is  $\mathbf{R}^2$ -irreducible. Sections 4 and 5 treat, respectively, the special cases in which  $G$  is an infinite cyclic group and an infinite closed surface group. The constructions and notation of these special cases are used in section 6, which treats the general case. Section 7 shows how to get uncountably many  $M$  with non-homeomorphic  $\widetilde{M}$  for each group  $G$ .

## 2. THE PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1 AND 3

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $M$  be a connected,  $\mathbf{P}^2$ -irreducible, open 3-manifold. Let  $Q$  be a compact, connected, 3-dimensional submanifold of  $M$  such that  $\partial Q$  is incompressible in  $M$  and  $\pi_1(Q)$  is not an infinite closed surface group. Let  $p : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$  be the universal covering map and  $G$  the group of covering translations. Let  $\widetilde{Q}$  be a component of  $p^{-1}(Q)$ . Then*

- (1) *Each component of  $p^{-1}(\partial Q)$  is a plane.*
- (2) *There is no component  $\Pi$  of  $\partial \widetilde{Q}$  which is invariant under the subgroup  $G_0$  of  $G$  consisting of those covering translations which leave  $\widetilde{Q}$  invariant.*
- (3) *If each component of  $\partial \widetilde{Q}$  is a trivial plane, then  $\widetilde{M}$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}^3$ .*

*Proof.* (1) follows from the incompressibility of  $\partial Q$  in  $M$ .

Suppose  $S$  is a component of  $\partial Q$  and  $\Pi$  is a component of  $p^{-1}(S)$  which is invariant under  $G_0$ . Since the restriction of  $p$  to  $\widetilde{Q}$  is the universal covering space of  $Q$  and the restriction of  $G_0$  to  $\widetilde{Q}$  is the group of covering translations we have that  $\pi_1(S) \rightarrow \pi_1(Q)$  is an isomorphism, contradicting our assumption on  $\pi_1(Q)$ . This establishes (2).

We now prove (3). Suppose that each component  $\Pi$  of  $\partial \widetilde{Q}$  bounds an end-proper halfspace  $H_\Pi$  in  $\widetilde{M}$ . Let  $K_\Pi$  be the closure of the component of  $\widetilde{M} - \Pi$  which does not contain  $\text{int } \widetilde{Q}$ .

Assume that for all such  $\Pi$  we have  $H_\Pi = K_\Pi$ . Then  $\widetilde{M}$  is the union of  $\widetilde{Q}$  and an open collar attached to  $\partial \widetilde{Q}$ , hence  $\widetilde{M}$  is homeomorphic to  $\text{int } \widetilde{Q}$ . Since  $Q$  is Haken, the Waldhausen Compactification Theorem [15] implies that  $\widetilde{Q}$  is homeomorphic to a closed 3-ball minus a closed subset of its boundary, hence  $\text{int } \widetilde{Q}$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}^3$ , and we are done.

Thus we may assume that for some  $\Pi$  we have  $H_\Pi \neq K_\Pi$ . Then  $H_\Pi \cap K_\Pi = \Pi$  and  $H_\Pi \cup K_\Pi = \widetilde{M}$ . Now  $G_0$  has an element  $g$  such that  $g(\Pi) \neq \Pi$ . Since  $\widetilde{Q} \subseteq H_\Pi$  and  $g(\widetilde{Q}) = \widetilde{Q}$  we must have  $g(K_\Pi) \subseteq H_\Pi$ . Since  $\mathbf{R}^2 \times [0, \infty)$  is  $\mathbf{R}^2$ -irreducible (see e.g. [8]) it follows that  $K_\Pi$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}^2 \times [0, \infty)$ . Thus  $\widetilde{M}$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}^3$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* By passing to a covering space of  $M$ , if necessary, we may assume that  $\pi_1(M)$  is indecomposable with respect to free products and is neither an infinite cyclic group nor an infinite closed surface group. Let  $C$  be the Scott compact core [11] of  $M$ , i.e.  $C$  is a compact, connected, 3-dimensional submanifold of  $M$  such that  $\pi_1(C) \rightarrow \pi_1(M)$  is an isomorphism. The conditions on  $\pi_1(M)$  imply that  $\partial C$  is incompressible in  $M$ . We thus can apply Lemma 2.1 with  $Q = C$  to finish the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.* We first show that  $(1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (1)$ . If (1) is true, then  $M$  must be non-compact; this follows from the fact that if  $M$  were closed and non-orientable, then it would be Haken and so have universal covering space homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}^3$ . Let  $C$  be the Scott compact core for  $M$ . Since  $M$  is irreducible we may assume that no component of  $\partial C$  is a 2-sphere; it follows that  $C$  is irreducible. If  $C$  is  $\partial$ -irreducible, then we are done. If  $C$  is not  $\partial$ -irreducible, then there is a finite set of compressing disks for  $\partial C$  in  $C$  which express  $C$  as a  $\partial$ -connected sum of 3-balls and  $\partial$ -irreducible Haken manifolds, thus yielding (2). Clearly  $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ . Suppose (3) is true and  $M$  is closed. If  $G$  is free, then  $M$  is by [2, Theorem 5.2] a connected sum of 2-sphere bundles over  $S^1$ , hence is not aspherical, hence  $W$  is not contractible. If  $G$  contains an infinite closed surface group, then by a result of Hass, Rubinstein, and Scott [1]  $W$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}^3$ .

Clearly Theorem 1 and the fact that  $M$  cannot be closed and non-orientable show that  $(1) \Rightarrow (4)$ .

We now show that  $(1) \Rightarrow (5)$ . Let  $C$  be the Scott compact core of  $M$ . Then the assumptions on  $G$  imply that there is a set of compressing disks for  $\partial C$  in  $C$  such that some component  $Q$  of  $C$  split along this collection of disks satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 2.1. Thus any component of the pre-image of  $\partial Q$  is an equivariant non-trivial plane.

We next show that  $(5) \Rightarrow (1)$ . Assume  $M$  is closed. If  $\pi_1(M)$  is a free product of infinite cyclic groups and infinite closed surface groups, then we apply (3) to obtain (1). If  $\pi_1(M)$  is not such a group, then the existence of an equivariant plane, together with the compactness of  $M$ , implies that  $M$  is Haken, and so (1) follows by Waldhausen [15].

Finally we show that  $(4 + 6) \Rightarrow (1)$ . If  $W$  is  $\mathbf{R}^2$ -irreducible, then (4) implies the hypothesis of (2), hence implies (1). If  $W$  is not  $\mathbf{R}^2$ -irreducible, then (6) implies as before that  $M$  is Haken, thus (1) holds.  $\square$

### 3. NICE QUASI-EXHAUSTIONS AND $\mathbf{R}^2$ -IRREDUCIBILITY

We shall reformulate a criterion due to Scott and Tucker [12] for a  $\mathbf{P}^2$ -irreducible open 3-manifold to be  $\mathbf{R}^2$ -irreducible. A proper plane  $\Pi$  in an open 3-manifold  $W$  is **homotopically trivial** if for any compact subset  $C$  of  $W$  the inclusion map of  $\Pi$  is end-properly homotopic to a map whose image is disjoint from  $C$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $W$  be an irreducible, open 3-manifold, and let  $\Pi$  be a proper plane in  $W$ . If  $\Pi$  is homotopically trivial, then  $\Pi$  is trivial.*

*Proof.* This is Lemma 4.1 of [12].  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $W$  be a connected, irreducible, open 3-manifold, and let  $\{C_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ , be a sequence of compact 3-dimensional submanifolds of  $W$  such that  $C_n \subseteq \text{int } C_{n+1}$  and*

- (1) each  $C_n$  is irreducible,
- (2) each  $\partial C_n$  is incompressible in  $W - \text{int } C_n$ ,
- (3) if  $D$  is a proper disk in  $C_{n+1}$  which is in general position with respect to  $\partial C_n$  such that  $\partial D$  is not null-homotopic in  $\partial C_{n+1}$ , then  $D \cap \partial C_n$  has at least two components which are not null-homotopic in  $\partial C_n$  and bound disjoint disks in  $D$ .

Then any proper plane in  $W$  can be end-properly homotoped off  $C_n$  for any  $n$ .

*Proof.* This is Lemma 4.2 of [12]. □

The precise criterion we shall use is as follows.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $W$  be a connected, irreducible, open 3-manifold. Suppose that for each compact subset  $K$  of  $W$  there is a sequence  $\{C_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  of compact 3-dimensional submanifolds such that  $C_n \subseteq \text{int } C_{n+1}$  and*

- (1) each  $C_n$  is irreducible,
- (2) each  $\partial C_n$  is incompressible in  $W - \text{int } C_n$  and has positive genus,
- (3) each  $C_{n+1} - \text{int } C_n$  is irreducible,  $\partial$ -irreducible, and anannular,
- (4)  $K \subseteq C_1$ .

Then  $W$  is  $\mathbf{R}^2$ -irreducible.

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be a disk as in part (iii) of Lemma 3.2. If every component of  $D \cap \partial C_n$  is null-homotopic in  $\partial C_n$ , then one can isotop  $D$  so that  $D \cap C_n = \emptyset$  and hence  $\partial C_{n+1}$  is compressible in  $C_{n+1} - \text{int } C_n$ . If only one component  $\alpha$  of  $D \cap \partial C_n$  is not null-homotopic in  $\partial C_n$ , then  $\partial D \cup \alpha$  bounds an annulus  $A$  which can be isotoped so that  $A \cap \partial C_n = \alpha$ , hence  $C_{n+1} - \text{int } C_n$  is not anannular. If no two of the components of  $D \cap \partial C_n$  which are not null-homotopic in  $\partial C_n$  bound disjoint disks in  $D$ , then these components must be nested on  $D$ . We can isotop  $D$  to remove null-homotopic components and then intermediate annuli to again get an incompressible annulus joining  $\partial C_{n+1}$  to  $\partial C_n$ . Now apply Lemma 3.2 and then Lemma 3.1. □

Let  $\{C_n\}$  be a sequence of compact, connected 3-dimensional submanifolds of an irreducible, open 3-manifold  $W$  such that  $C_n \subseteq \text{int } C_{n+1}$  such that  $W - \text{int } C_n$  has no compact components. This will be called a **quasi-exhaustion** for  $W$ . A quasi-exhaustion for  $W$  whose union is  $W$  is an **exhaustion** for  $W$ . A quasi-exhaustion is **nice** if it satisfies conditions (1)–(3) of Lemma 3.3. Thus that lemma can be rephrased by saying that if every compact subset of  $W$  is contained in the first term of a nice quasi-exhaustion, then  $W$  is  $\mathbf{R}^2$ -irreducible.

We shall need some tools for constructing Whitehead manifolds with nice quasi-exhaustions. Define a compact, connected 3-manifold  $Y$  to be **nice** if it is  $\mathbf{P}^2$ -irreducible,  $\partial$ -irreducible, and anannular, it contains a two-sided proper incompressible surface, and it is not a 3-ball; define it to be **excellent** if, in addition, every connected, proper, incompressible surface of zero Euler characteristic in  $Y$  is  $\partial$ -parallel.

So in particular an excellent 3-manifold is anannular and atoroidal while a nice 3-manifold is anannular but may contain a non- $\partial$ -parallel incompressible torus.

A proper 1-manifold in a compact 3-manifold is **excellent** if its exterior is excellent; it is **poly-excellent** if the union of each non-empty subset of the set of its components is excellent.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Every proper 1-manifold in a compact, connected 3-manifold whose boundary contains no 2-spheres or projective planes is homotopic rel  $\partial$  to an excellent proper 1-manifold.*

*Proof.* This is a special case of Theorem 1.1 of [6]. □

Define a  $k$ -**tangle** to be a disjoint union of  $k$  proper arcs in a 3-ball.

**Lemma 3.5.** *For all  $k \geq 1$  poly-excellent  $k$ -tangles exist.*

*Proof.* This is Theorem 6.3 of [7]. □

We shall also need the following criterion for gluing together excellent 3-manifolds to get an excellent 3-manifold.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $Y$  be a compact, connected 3-manifold. Let  $S$  be a compact, proper, two-sided surface in  $Y$ . Let  $Y'$  be the 3-manifold obtained by splitting  $Y$  along  $S$ . Let  $S'$  and  $S''$  be the two copies of  $S$  which are identified to obtain  $Y$ . If each component of  $Y'$  is excellent,  $S'$ ,  $S''$ , and  $(\partial Y') - \text{int}(S' \cup S'')$  are incompressible in  $Y'$ , and each component of  $S$  has negative Euler characteristic, then  $Y$  is excellent.*

*Proof.* This is Lemma 2.1 of [6]. □

#### 4. THE INFINITE CYCLIC CASE

In [12] Scott and Tucker described an  $\mathbf{R}^2$ -irreducible Whitehead manifold which is an infinite cyclic covering space. This section gives a general procedure for constructing such examples. The construction introduced here will be incorporated into that for the general case in section 6.

Let  $P_n = D_n \times [0, 1]$ , where  $D_n$  is the disk of radius  $n$ . We call  $P_n$  a **pillbox**. Identify  $D_n \times \{0\}$  with  $D_n \times \{1\}$  to obtain a solid torus  $Q_n$ . Let  $R_n$  be a solid torus and  $H_n$  a 1-handle  $D \times [0, 1]$  joining  $\partial D_n \times (0, 1)$  to  $\partial R_n$ . Let  $V_n = P_n \cup H_n \cup R_n$  and  $M_n = Q_n \cup H_n \cup R_n$ . We call  $V_n$  an **eyebolt**. We embed  $M_n$  in the interior of  $M_{n+1}$  as follows.

We choose a collection of arcs  $\theta_0, \theta_1, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \delta_1, \delta_2, \varepsilon$  in  $P_{n+1}$  which satisfy certain conditions described below.  $\theta_0, \theta_1$ , and  $\alpha_0$  meet in a common endpoint in  $\text{int } P_{n+1}$  but are otherwise disjoint. The other endpoints of  $\theta_0$  and  $\alpha_0$  lie in  $(\text{int } D_{n+1}) \times \{0\}$ ; that of  $\theta_1$  lies in  $(\text{int } D_{n+1}) \times \{1\}$ . We let  $\theta = \theta_0 \cup \theta_1$ . All the other arcs are proper arcs in  $P_{n+1}$  which are disjoint from each other and from  $\theta \cup \alpha_0$ .  $\gamma_1, \beta_2$ , and  $\delta_2$  run from  $(\text{int } D_{n+1}) \times \{0\}$  to itself.  $\gamma_2, \beta_1$ , and  $\delta_1$  run from

$(\text{int } D_{n+1}) \times \{1\}$  to itself.  $\alpha_1$  runs from  $(\text{int } D_{n+1}) \times \{0\}$  to  $(\text{int } D_{n+1}) \times \{1\}$ .  $\alpha_2$  runs from  $(\text{int } D_{n+1}) \times \{1\}$  to  $\text{int } (P_{n+1} \cap H_{n+1})$ .  $\varepsilon$  runs from  $\text{int } (P_{n+1} \cap H_{n+1})$  to itself. We denote the image in  $Q_{n+1}$  of an arc by the same symbol, relying on the context to distinguish an arc in  $P_{n+1}$  from its image in  $Q_{n+1}$ . We require that  $\theta$  be a simple closed curve in  $Q_{n+1}$  and that  $\alpha_0 \cup \beta_1 \cup \gamma_1 \cup \delta_1 \cup \alpha_1 \cup \beta_2 \cup \gamma_2 \cup \delta_2$  is an arc consisting of subarcs which occur in the given order. We require that any union of these arcs which contains  $\alpha_0$  and at least one other arc has excellent exterior in  $P_{n+1}$ , and that the same is true for any union of these arcs which contains neither  $\theta_0$ ,  $\theta_1$ , nor  $\alpha_0$ . This can be achieved as follows. Note that the exterior of  $\alpha_0$  in  $P_{n+1}$  is a 3-ball  $B$ . Choose a poly-excellent 11-tangle in  $B$  and then slide its endpoints so that exactly two of the arcs meet a regular neighborhood of  $\alpha_0$ . Extend them to meet  $\alpha_0$  in the desired configuration.

Next let  $\kappa_1$ ,  $\kappa_2$ , and  $\kappa_3$  be product arcs in  $H_{n+1}$  joining  $(\text{int } D) \times \{0\}$  to  $(\text{int } D) \times \{1\}$ . Let  $R_n \subseteq \text{int } R_{n+1}$  be any null-homotopic embedding. Let  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  be disjoint proper arcs in  $R_{n+1} - \text{int } R_n$  with  $\lambda_1$  joining  $\text{int } (H_{n+1} \cap R_{n+1})$  to itself and  $\lambda_2$  joining  $\text{int } (H_{n+1} \cap R_{n+1})$  to  $\partial R_n$ . We require  $\lambda_1 \cup \lambda_2$  to be excellent in  $R_{n+1} - \text{int } R_n$ . We also require that these arcs, together with  $\varepsilon$ , fit into an arc whose subarcs form the sequence  $\kappa_1, \lambda_1, \kappa_2, \varepsilon, \kappa_3, \lambda_2$  and that  $\kappa_1$  meets  $\alpha_2$  in a common endpoint.

Now we embed  $P_n$  in  $P_{n+1}$  as a regular neighborhood of the arc  $\theta$  so that the two disks of  $P_n \cap (D_{n+1} \times \{0, 1\})$  are identified to give an embedding of  $Q_n$  in  $Q_{n+1}$ . Note that these embeddings are not consistent with the product structures. From the discussion above we have an arc  $\omega$  in  $M_{n+1} - \text{int } (Q_n \cup R_n)$  running from  $\partial Q_n$  to  $\partial R_n$ . We embed  $H_n$  as a regular neighborhood of  $\omega$ . We change notation slightly by now letting  $\alpha_0$  be the old  $\alpha_0$  minus its intersection with the interior of  $Q_n$ .

We let  $M$  be the direct limit of the  $M_n$  and let  $p : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$  be the universal covering map.  $p^{-1}(Q_n) = p^{-1}(P_n)$  is the union of pillboxes  $P_{n,j} = D_n \times [j, j+1]$  meeting along the  $D_n \times \{j\}$  to form  $D_n \times \mathbf{R}$ . Note that this embedding is not the product embedding.  $p^{-1}(R_n)$  is a disjoint union of solid tori  $R_{n,j}$ .  $p^{-1}(H_n)$  is a disjoint union of 1-handles  $H_{n,j}$  joining  $\partial D_n \times (j, j+1)$  to  $\partial R_{n,j}$ ; these are regular neighborhoods of lifts  $\omega_j$  of  $\omega$ .  $p^{-1}(M_n) = p^{-1}(V_n)$  is the union of  $p^{-1}(P_n)$ ,  $p^{-1}(H_n)$ , and  $p^{-1}(R_n)$ . It is the union of eyebolts  $V_{n,j} = P_{n,j} \cup H_{n,j} \cup R_{n,j}$  meeting along the  $D_n \times \{j\}$ .  $\widetilde{M}$  is the nested union of the  $p^{-1}(M_n)$ .

Let  $\Sigma_n^m = \bigcup_{j=-m}^m V_{n,j}$  and  $\Lambda_n^m = P_{n, -(m+1)} \cup P_{n, m+1}$ . Let  $\Phi_1^m = \emptyset$ , and, for  $n \geq 2$ , let  $\Phi_n^m = \bigcup_{j=m+2}^{m+n} (P_{n, -j} \cup P_{n, j})$ . Note that  $\Lambda_n^m$  and  $\Phi_n^m$  (for  $n \geq 2$ ) are each disjoint unions of two 3-balls,  $\Lambda_n^m \cap \Sigma_n^m$  is a pair of disjoint disks, and (for  $n \geq 2$ ) so is  $\Lambda_n^m \cap \Phi_n^m$ . Define  $C_n^m = \Sigma_n^m \cup \Lambda_n^m \cup \Phi_n^m$ .

**Lemma 4.1.**  *$\{C_m^m\}$  is an exhaustion for  $\widetilde{M}$ . Each  $C^m$  is a nice quasi-exhaustion.*

*Proof.*  $C_n^m \subseteq \text{int } C_{n+1}^m$ , and  $C_n^m \subseteq C_n^{m+1}$ . A given compact subset  $K$  of  $\widetilde{M}$  lies in some  $p^{-1}(M_n)$  and thus in a finite union of  $V_{n,j}$  and hence in some  $\Sigma_n^m \subseteq C_n^m \subseteq C_q^q$ , where  $q = \max\{m, n\}$ . Thus  $\{C_m^m\}$  is an exhaustion for  $\widetilde{M}$ .

$C_n^m$  is a cube with  $2m + 1$  handles. Let  $Y = C_{n+1}^m - \text{int } C_n^m$ . We will show that  $Y$  is excellent by successive applications of Lemma 3.6.

Consider a  $P_{n+1,j}$  contained in  $C_{n+1}^m$ . If  $|j| < m$ , then it meets  $C_n^m$  in a regular neighborhood of the union of the  $j^{\text{th}}$  copies of all the arcs in  $P_{n+1}$ . Thus  $Y \cap P_{n+1,j}$  is excellent, and Lemma 3.6 implies that the union of these  $Y \cap P_{n+1,j}$  is excellent. For  $|j| \geq m$  some care must be taken so that one is always gluing excellent 3-manifolds along surfaces of the appropriate type. Note that  $Y \cap (P_{n+1,m} \cup P_{n+1,m+1} \cup \cdots \cup P_{n+1,m+n-1} \cup P_{n+1,m+n})$  is equal to the exterior of the  $m^{\text{th}}$  copy of all the arcs but  $\beta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  in  $P_{n+1,m}$  together with the exterior of the  $(m+1)^{\text{st}}$  copy of  $\beta_2$ ,  $\delta_2$ , and  $\theta$  in  $P_{n+1,m+1}$ , the exterior of the  $j^{\text{th}}$  copy of  $\theta$  in  $P_{n+1,j}$  for  $m+1 < j < m+n$ , and the 3-ball  $P_{n+1,m+n}$ . This space is homeomorphic to the exterior of the  $m^{\text{th}}$  copy of all the arcs but  $\beta_1$ ,  $\delta_1$ , and  $\theta_1$  in  $P_{n+1,m+1}$  together with the exterior of the  $(m+1)^{\text{st}}$  copy of  $\beta_2$  and  $\delta_2$  in  $P_{n+1,m+1}$ , and the 3-ball consisting of the union of the  $P_{n+1,j}$  for which  $m+1 < j \leq m+n$ . This can be seen by taking the arc consisting of the  $m^{\text{th}}$  copy of  $\theta_1$  and the  $j^{\text{th}}$  copy of  $\theta$  for  $m < j < m+n$  and retracting it onto the endpoint in which it meets the rest of the graph. This space is then excellent by Lemma 3.6. Similar remarks apply for  $j \leq -m$ , so these spaces can be added on to get that  $Y \cap \bigcup_{j=-(m+n)}^{m+n} P_{n+1,j}$  is excellent.

We fill in the remainder of  $Y$  by adding the exteriors of the  $j^{\text{th}}$  copies of  $\kappa_1$ ,  $\kappa_2$ , and  $\kappa_3$  in  $H_{n+1,j}$  and  $\lambda_1 \cup \lambda_2$  in  $R_{n+1,j} - \text{int } R_{n,j}$  for  $|j| \leq m$ . Since the first of these spaces is a product the union of the two spaces is homeomorphic to the second space, and Lemma 3.6 applies to complete the proof that  $Y$  is excellent.

It remains to show that each  $\partial C_n^m$  is incompressible in  $\widetilde{M} - \text{int } C_n^m$ . Since each  $C_{n+s+1}^m - \text{int } C_{m+s}^m$  is  $\partial$ -irreducible we have that  $\partial C_n^m$  is incompressible in  $C_{n+q}^m - \text{int } C_n^m$  for each  $q \geq 1$ .  $p^{-1}(M_{n+q})$  is the union of  $C_{n+q}^m$  and the sets  $C^- = \bigcup_{j < -m} V_{n+q,j}$  and  $C^+ = \bigcup_{j > m} V_{n+q,j}$ . We have that  $C^- \cap C_{n+q}^m$  and  $C^+ \cap C_{n+q}^m$  are disjoint disks, while  $C^- \cap C^+ = \emptyset$ . It follows that  $\partial C_n^m$  is incompressible in  $p^{-1}(M_{n+q}) - \text{int } C_n^m$ . Since  $\widetilde{M}$  is the nested union of the  $p^{-1}(M_{n+q})$  over all  $q \geq 1$  we have the desired result.  $\square$

## 5. THE SURFACE GROUP CASE

Let  $F$  be a closed, connected surface other than  $S^2$  or  $\mathbf{P}^2$ . Let  $n \geq 1$ . Regard  $F$  as being obtained from a  $2k$ -gon  $E$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , by identifying sides  $s_i$  and  $s'_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . This induces an identification of the lateral sides  $S_i = s_i \times [-n, n]$  and  $S'_i = s'_i \times [-n, n]$  of the **prism**  $P_n = E \times [-n, n]$  which yields  $Q_n = F \times [-n, n]$ . Let  $R_n$  be a solid torus and  $H_n$  a 1-handle  $D \times [0, 1]$ . Let  $V_n = P_n \cup H_n \cup R_n$ , where  $P_n \cap R_n = D \times \{1\}$  is a disk in  $\partial R_n$ , and  $P_n \cap R_n = \emptyset$ . We again call  $V_n$  an **eyebolt**. It is a solid torus

whose image under the identification is  $M_n = Q_n \cup H_n \cup R_n$ , a space homeomorphic to the  $\partial$ -connected sum of  $F \times [-n, n]$  and a solid torus.

We define an open 3-manifold  $M$  by specifying an embedding of  $M_n$  in the interior of  $M_{n+1}$  and letting  $M$  be the direct limit. The inclusion  $[-n, n] \subseteq [-(n+1), n+1]$  induces  $P_n \subseteq P_{n+1}$  and hence  $Q_n \subseteq Q_{n+1}$ . We let  $R_n \subseteq \text{int } R_{n+1}$  be any null-homotopic embedding. Again the interesting part of the embedding will be that of  $H_n$  in  $M_{n+1}$ . It will be the regular neighborhood of a certain arc  $\omega$  in  $M_{n+1} - \text{int } (Q_n \cup R_n)$  joining  $\partial Q_n$  to  $\partial R_n$ .

The arc  $\omega$  is the union of  $4k+7$  arcs any two of which are either disjoint or have one common endpoint. The  $4k+2$  arcs  $\alpha_0, \alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i, \delta_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$ , and  $\varepsilon$  lie in  $E \times [n, n+1]$  and are identified with their images in  $Q_{n+1}$ ; the three arcs  $\kappa_1, \kappa_2$ , and  $\kappa_3$  lie in  $H_{n+1}$ , and the two arcs  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  lie in  $R_{n+1}$ . These arcs will have special properties to be described later. We first describe their combinatorics. The arcs in  $P_{n+1}$  are all proper arcs in  $E \times [n, n+1]$ .  $\alpha_0$  runs from  $(\text{int } E) \times \{n\}$  to  $\text{int } S_1$ . For  $1 \leq i < k$ ,  $\alpha_i$  runs from  $\text{int } S_i$  to  $\text{int } S_{i+1}$ .  $\alpha_k$  runs from  $\text{int } S'_k$  to  $\text{int } (P_{n+1} \cap H_{n+1})$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $\beta_i$  and  $\delta_i$  each run from  $\text{int } S'_i$  to itself, while  $\gamma_i$  runs from  $\text{int } S_i$  to itself. These arcs are chosen so that under the identification their endpoints match up in such a way as to give an arc which follows the sequence  $\alpha_0, \beta_1, \gamma_1, \delta_1, \alpha_1, \dots, \beta_k, \gamma_k, \delta_k, \alpha_k$ . We require  $\varepsilon$  to run from  $\text{int } (P_{n+1} \cap H_{n+1})$  to itself.  $\kappa_1, \kappa_2$ , and  $\kappa_3$  are product arcs in  $H_{n+1}$  lying in  $(\text{int } D) \times [0, 1]$ .  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are proper arcs in  $R_{n+1} - \text{int } R_n$ , with  $\lambda_1$  running from  $\text{int } (H_{n+1} \cap R_{n+1})$  to itself and  $\lambda_2$  running from  $\text{int } (H_{n+1} \cap R_{n+1})$  to  $\partial R_n$ . These arcs are chosen so as to fit together into the sequence  $\kappa_1, \lambda_1, \kappa_2, \varepsilon, \kappa_3, \lambda_2$  with the endpoint of  $\kappa_1$  other than  $\kappa_1 \cap \lambda_1$  being the same as the endpoint of  $\alpha_k$  other than  $\alpha_k \cap \delta_k$ . This gives  $\omega$ .

We now describe the special properties required of these arcs. We require that  $\alpha_0 \cup \beta_1 \cup \gamma_1 \cup \delta_1 \cup \alpha_1 \cup \dots \cup \beta_k \cup \gamma_k \cup \delta_k \cup \varepsilon$  be a poly-excellent  $(4k+2)$ -tangle in  $E \times [n, n+1]$  and  $\lambda_1 \cup \lambda_2$  to be an excellent 1-manifold in  $R_{n+1} - \text{int } R_n$ .

We now consider the universal covering map  $p : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ . Our goal is to construct a sequence  $\{C^m\}$  of nice quasi-exhaustions whose diagonal  $\{C_m^m\}$  is an exhaustion for  $\widetilde{M}$ .

The universal covering space  $\widetilde{F}$  of  $F$  is tessellated by copies  $E_j$  of  $E$ . We fix one such copy  $E_1$ . We inductively define an exhaustion  $\{F_m\}$  for  $\widetilde{F}$  as follows.  $F_1 = E_1$ .  $F_{m+1}$  is the union of  $F_m$  and all those  $E_j$  which meet it. Each  $F_m$  is a disk (which we call a **star**). The **inner corona**  $I_m$  of  $F_m$  is the annulus  $F_{m+1} - \text{int } F_m$ . Each vertex on  $\partial F_m$  lies in either one or two of those  $E_j$  contained in  $F_m$ . Each  $E_j$  in  $I_m$  meets  $F_m$  in either an edge or a vertex; in both cases it meets exactly two adjacent  $E_\ell$  of  $I_m$ , and each of these intersections is an edge. For  $n \geq 2$  we define the **outer  $n$ -corona**  $O_n^m$  to be the annulus  $F_{m+n} - \text{int } F_{m+1}$ ; we define  $O_1^m = \emptyset$ . Let  $\sigma_2$  be a proper arc in  $F_2$  consisting of three edges of the polygons in  $F_2$ . Inductively define a proper arc  $\sigma_{m+1}$  in  $F_{m+1}$  by adjoining to  $\sigma_m$  two arcs spanning  $I_m$  which are edges

of polygons in  $I_m$ . Thus each  $\sigma_m$  is an edge path in  $F_m$  splitting it into two unions of polygons  $F'_m$  and  $F''_m$ .

We now consider the structure of  $\widetilde{M}$ . For  $n \geq 1$ ,  $p^{-1}(Q_n) = p^{-1}(P_n)$  is the union of prisms  $P_{n,j} = E_j \times [-n, n]$  meeting along their lateral sides to form  $\widetilde{F} \times [-n, n]$ .  $p^{-1}(R_n)$  is a disjoint union of solid tori  $R_{n,j}$ .  $p^{-1}(H_n)$  is a disjoint union of 1-handles  $H_{n,j}$  running from  $E_j \times \{n\}$  to  $\partial R_{n,j}$ ; these are regular neighborhoods of lifts  $\omega_j$  of  $\omega$ . Now  $p^{-1}(M_n) = p^{-1}(V_n)$  is the union of  $p^{-1}(P_n)$ ,  $p^{-1}(H_n)$ , and  $p^{-1}(R_n)$ . It can be expressed as the union of the eyebolts  $V_{n,j} = P_{n,j} \cup H_{n,j} \cup R_{n,j}$  meeting along the lateral sides of the  $P_{n,j}$ . Finally  $\widetilde{M}$  is the nested union of the  $p^{-1}(M_n)$ .

Let  $\Sigma_n^m$  be the union of those  $V_{n,j}$  such that  $E_j$  is in the star  $F_m$ . Let  $\Lambda_n^m$  be the union of those  $P_{n,j}$  such that  $E_j$  is in the inner corona  $I_m$ . Let  $\Phi_n^m$  be the union of those  $P_{n,j}$  such that  $E_j$  is in the outer  $n$ -corona  $O_n^m$ . Note that  $\Lambda_n^m$  and  $\Phi_n^m$  (for  $n \geq 2$ ) are solid tori,  $\Lambda_n^m \cap \Sigma_n^m$  is an annulus which goes around  $\Lambda_n^m$  once longitudinally and consists of those lateral sides of the prisms in  $\Sigma_n^m$  which lie on  $\partial \Sigma_n^m$ , and (for  $n \geq 2$ )  $\Lambda_n^m \cap \Phi_n^m$  is an annulus which goes around each of these solid tori once longitudinally. We now define  $C_n^m = \Sigma_n^m \cup \Lambda_n^m \cup \Phi_n^m$ .

**Lemma 5.1.**  *$\{C_n^m\}$  is an exhaustion for  $\widetilde{M}$ . Each  $C_n^m$  is a nice quasi-exhaustion.*

*Proof.* Note that  $C_n^m \subseteq \text{int } C_{n+1}^m$ , and  $C_n^m \subseteq C_n^{m+1}$ . Suppose  $K$  is some compact subset of  $\widetilde{M}$ . Then  $K$  lies in some  $p^{-1}(M_n)$  and thus in a finite union of  $V_{n,j}$  and hence in some  $\Sigma_n^m \subseteq C_n^m \subseteq C_q^q$ , where  $q = \max\{m, n\}$ . Thus  $\{C_n^m\}$  is an exhaustion for  $\widetilde{M}$ .

Each  $C_n^m$  is a cube with handles, so is irreducible. The number of handles is at least one, so  $\partial C_n^m$  has positive genus. Let  $Y = C_{n+1}^m - \text{int } C_n^m$ . We will prove that  $Y$  is excellent by successive applications of Lemma 3.6. Let  $P_{n+1,j}^+$  and  $P_{n+1,j}^-$  denote, respectively,  $E_j \times [n, n+1]$  and  $E_j \times [-(n+1), -n]$ .

Consider a  $P_{n+1,j}$  contained in  $\Sigma_{n+1}^m$ . It meets  $C_n^m$  in  $P_{n+1,j}$  together with regular neighborhoods of certain arcs in  $P_{n+1,j}^+$ . These arcs consist at least of the  $j^{\text{th}}$  copies of the  $\alpha_i$ , the  $\gamma_i$ , and  $\varepsilon$  which are part of the lift  $\omega_j$  of  $\omega$ . If another prism  $P_{n+1,\ell}$  in  $\Sigma_{n+1}^m$  meets  $P_{n+1,j}$  in a common lateral side, then either  $\omega_j$  or  $\omega_\ell$  will meet this side; in the latter case this contributes a  $\beta_i$  and  $\delta_i$  to the subsystem of arcs in  $P_{n+1,j}^+$ . Since the full system of arcs was chosen to be poly-excellent this subsystem of arcs is excellent and so has excellent exterior  $Y \cap P_{n+1,j}^+$ . Let  $U'$  be the union of those  $Y \cap P_{n+1,j}^+$  such that  $E_j \subseteq F'_m$ . This space can be built up inductively by gluing on one  $Y \cap P_{n+1,j}^+$  at a time, with the gluing being done along either a disk with two holes (when  $P_{n+1,j}$  is glued along one lateral side) or a disk with four holes (when  $P_{n+1,j}$  is glued along two adjacent lateral sides). No component of the complement of this surface in the boundary of either manifold is a disk, hence this surface is incompressible in each manifold. It follows that  $U'$  is excellent. Similar remarks apply to the space  $U''$  associated with  $F''_m$ .

Next consider a  $P_{n+1,j}^+$  contained in  $\Lambda_{n+1}^m$ . If  $E_j \subseteq F_{m+1}$  and meets  $F'_m$  in an edge of  $E_\ell \subseteq F'_m$ , then either  $\omega_\ell$  misses  $P_{n+1,j}^+$  or meets it in copies of  $\beta_i$  and  $\delta_i$ . Thus enlarging  $U'$  by adding  $Y \cap P_{n+1,j}^+$  either adds a 3-ball along a disk in its boundary, giving a space homeomorphic to  $U'$  or gives a new excellent 3-manifold. We adjoin all such  $Y \cap P_{n+1,j}^+$  to  $U'$ . Then we consider those  $E_j$  which meet  $F'_m$  in a vertex. Then  $P_{n+1,j}^+ = Y \cap P_{n+1,j}^+$ , and one can successively adjoin these 3-balls along disks in their boundaries. We denote the enlargement of  $U'$  from all these additions again by  $U'$ . Similar remarks apply to  $U''$ .

Now  $(F'_{m+n+1} - \text{int } F'_{m+1}) \times [n, n+1]$  is a 3-ball which meets  $U'$  in a disk, so we adjoin it to  $U'$  to get a new  $U'$  homeomorphic to the old one. We then adjoin the 3-ball  $(F'_{m+n+1} - \text{int } F'_{m+n}) \times [-n, n]$  which meets this space along a disk to obtain our final  $U'$ . The same construction gives  $U''$ .

Now  $U'$  and  $U''$  are each excellent.  $U' \cap U''$  is an annulus with a positive number of disks removed from its interior corresponding to its intersection with arcs passing from  $F'_m \times [n, n+1]$  to  $F''_m \times [n, n+1]$ . No component of the complement of this surface in  $\partial U'$  or in  $\partial U''$  is a disk; this corresponds to the fact that  $F'_m \times \{n\}$ ,  $F''_m \times \{n\}$ ,  $F'_m \times \{n+1\}$ , and  $F''_m \times \{n+1\}$  each meet some  $\omega_j$ . Thus this surface is incompressible in both  $U'$  and  $U''$ , so  $U' \cup U''$  is excellent.

Finally we add on the  $Y \cap R_{n,j}$  for  $E_j \subseteq F_m$  to  $U' \cup U''$  to conclude that  $Y$  is excellent.

It remains to show that each  $\partial C_n^m$  is incompressible in  $\widetilde{M} - \text{int } C_n^m$ . First note that since each  $C_{n+s+1}^m - \text{int } C_{n+s}^m$  is  $\partial$ -irreducible we must have that  $\partial C_n^m$  is incompressible in  $C_{n+q}^m - \text{int } C_n^m$  for each  $q \geq 1$ . Now consider the set

$$\widetilde{M}_{n+q} = p^{-1}(M_{n+q}) \cup (\widetilde{F} \times [-(n+q+1), -(n+q)]).$$

It can be obtained from  $C_{n+q}^m$  as follows. First add the solid tori  $R_{n+1,j} \cup H_{n+q,j}$  in  $p^{-1}(M_{n+q})$  for which  $E_j \subseteq F_{m+q+n}$ ; these meet  $C_{n+q}^m$  in disks. Then add

$$(F_{m+q+n} \times [-(n+q+1), -(n+q)]) \cup (\widetilde{F} - \text{int } F_{m+q+n}) \times [-(n+q+1), n+q].$$

This is a space homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}^2 \times [0, 1]$  which meets  $C_{n+q}^m$  in the disk

$$(F_{m+q+n} \times \{-(n+q)\}) \cup ((\partial F_{m+q+n}) \times [-(n+q), n+q]).$$

Lastly add all the remaining solid tori  $R_{n+q,j} \cup H_{n+q,j}$ , where  $E_j \subseteq \widetilde{F} - \text{int } F_{m+q+n}$ ; these do not meet  $C_{n+q}^m$ . This description shows that  $C_{n+q}^m \cap (\widetilde{M}_{n+q} - \text{int } C_{n+q}^m)$  consists of (finitely many) disjoint disks, and therefore  $\partial C_n^m$  is incompressible in  $\widetilde{M}_{n+q} - \text{int } C_n^m$ . Finally since  $\widetilde{M}$  is the nested union of the  $\widetilde{M}_{n+q}$  over all  $q \geq 1$  we have that  $\partial C_n^m$  is incompressible in  $\widetilde{M} - \text{int } C_n^m$ .  $\square$

## 6. THE GENERAL CASE

Suppose  $G_1, \dots, G_k$  are infinite cyclic groups and infinite closed surface groups. For  $i = 1, \dots, k$  let  $P_n^i$  be a pillbox or prism, as appropriate, with quotient  $Q_n^i$  a solid torus or product  $I$ -bundle over a closed surface, respectively. We let  $H_n^i$  be a 1-handle attached to  $P_n^i$  as before. We let  $R_n$  be a common solid torus to which we attach the other ends of all the  $H_n^i$ . The union of the  $Q_n^i$  and  $H_n^i$  with  $R_n$  is called  $M_n$ . As before we choose arcs in the  $P_n^i$ ,  $H_n^i$ , and  $R_n$  and use them to define an embedding of  $M_n$  into the interior of  $M_{n+1}$ .

The choice of arcs in  $R_{n+1} - \text{int } R_n$ , as well as the embedding  $R_n \subseteq \text{int } R_{n+1}$ , requires some discussion, since we will want this family  $\lambda$  of arcs to be poly-excellent. Choose a poly-excellent  $(2k+2)$ -tangle  $\lambda^+$  in a 3-ball  $B$ , with components  $\lambda_t^i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k+1$ ,  $t = 1, 2$ . Construct a graph in  $B$  by sliding one endpoint of each  $\lambda_2^i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , so that it lies on  $\text{int } \lambda_2^{k+1}$ . Thus these  $\lambda_2^i$  now join  $\partial B$  to distinct points on  $\text{int } \lambda_2^{k+1}$ ; all the other  $\lambda_t^i$  still join  $\partial B$  to itself. Now choose disjoint disks  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  in  $\partial B$  such that  $E_t$  meets the graph in  $\partial \lambda_t^{k+1} \cap \text{int } E_t$ . Glue  $E_1$  to  $E_2$  so that  $B$  becomes a solid torus  $R_{n+1}$  and  $\lambda_1^{k+1} \cup \lambda_2^{k+1}$  becomes a simple closed curve. The regular neighborhood of this simple closed curve is our embedding of  $R_n$  in the interior of  $R_{n+1}$ . Clearly  $R_n$  is null-homotopic in  $R_{n+1}$ . By Lemma 3.6 its exterior is excellent as is the exterior of the union of  $R_n$  with any of the  $\lambda_t^i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $t = 1, 2$ .

Let  $p : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$  be the universal covering map. Then  $p^{-1}(R_n)$  consists of disjoint solid tori whose union separates  $p^{-1}(M_n)$  into components with closures  $L_n^{i,\mu}$ , where  $L_n^{i,\mu}$  is a component of  $p^{-1}(Q_n^i \cup H_n^i)$ . Let  $Z_n^{i,\mu}$  be the union of  $L_n^{i,\mu}$  and all those components of  $p^{-1}(R_n)$  which meet it. Then  $Z^{i,\mu} = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} Z_n^{i,\mu}$  is an open subset of  $\widetilde{M}$  which has a family  $\{C^{i,\mu,m}\}$  of quasi-exhaustions as previously described. We will develop from these families an appropriate family  $\{C^m\}$  of quasi-exhaustions of  $\widetilde{M}$ .

We start by choosing a component  $\widehat{R}_1$  of  $p^{-1}(R_1)$ . For each  $n$  there is then a unique component  $\widehat{R}_n$  of  $p^{-1}(R_n)$  which contains  $\widehat{R}_1$ . We define  $C_n^1$  to be the union of  $\widehat{R}_n$  and the (finitely many)  $C_n^{i,\mu,1}$  which contain it; this space is a solid torus which meets each of these  $C_n^{i,\mu,1}$  in a 3-ball which is either a pillbox and a 1-handle or a prism and a 1-handle. Suppose  $C_n^m$  has been defined and that it is the union of the  $C_n^{i,\mu,m}$  for which  $C_n^m \cap L_n^{i,\mu} \neq \emptyset$ . We define  $C_n^{m+1}$  in two steps. We first take the union  $C'$  of all the  $C_n^{i,\mu,m}$  such that  $C_n^{i,\mu,m} \subseteq C_n^m$ . This is just the union of the  $n^{\text{th}}$  elements of the  $(m+1)^{\text{st}}$  quasi-exhaustions for those  $Z_n^{i,\mu}$  such that  $\{i, \mu\}$  is in the current index set. The second step is to enlarge the index set by adding those  $\{i, \nu\}$  for which  $C' \cap L_n^{i,\nu} \neq \emptyset$  and then adjoin the  $C_n^{i,\nu,m+1}$  to  $C'$  in order to obtain  $C_n^{m+1}$ . One can observe that the  $L_n^{i,\mu}$  and  $p^{-1}(R_n)$  give  $p^{-1}(M_n)$  a tree-like structure and that the passage from  $C_n^m$  to  $C_n^{m+1}$  goes out further along this tree.

**Lemma 6.1.**  *$\{C_m^m\}$  is an exhaustion for  $\widetilde{M}$ .  $C^m$  is a nice quasi-exhaustion.*

*Proof.* Again we have  $C_n^m \subseteq \text{int } C_{n+1}^m$  and  $C_n^m \subseteq C_n^{m+1}$  with the result that  $\{C_m^m\}$  is an exhaustion for  $\widetilde{M}$ .

As regards the excellence of  $C_{n+1}^m - \text{int } C_n^m$  we note that the only thing new takes place in those components of  $p^{-1}(R_{n+1})$  contained in  $C_{n+1}^m$ . Instead of two arcs  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  as before we have  $\lambda_1^i$  and  $\lambda_2^i$  as  $i$  ranges over some non-empty subset of  $\{1, \dots, k\}$ . We then apply the poly-excellence of the full set of  $\lambda_t^i$ .

The incompressibility of  $\partial C_n^m$  in  $\widetilde{M} - \text{int } C_n^m$  follows as before. We first note that  $\partial C_n^m$  is incompressible in  $C_{n+q}^m - \text{int } C_n^m$  for each  $q \geq 1$ . Now define  $\widetilde{M}_{n+q}$  to be the union of  $p^{-1}(M_{n+q})$  and, for each of the surface group factors  $G_i$  of  $G$ , the copy  $\widetilde{F}^{i,\mu} \times [-(n+q+1), -(n+q)]$  of  $\widetilde{F}^i \times [-(n+q+1), -(n+q)]$  contained in  $Z^{i,\mu}$ , where  $\widetilde{F}^i$  is the universal covering space of the surface  $F^i$  with  $\pi_1(F^i) \cong G_i$ . Then the exterior of  $C_{n+q}^m$  in  $\widetilde{M}_{n+q}$  meets it in a collection of disjoint disks, from which it follows that  $\partial C_n^m$  is incompressible in  $\widetilde{M}_{n+q} - \text{int } C_n^m$ , thus is incompressible in  $\widetilde{M} - \text{int } C_n^m$ .  $\square$

## 7. UNCOUNTABLY MANY EXAMPLES

We now describe how to get uncountably many examples for a given group  $G$ . We will use a trick introduced in [7]. Let  $\{X_{n,s}\}$  be a family of exteriors of non-trivial knots in  $S^3$  indexed by  $n \geq 2$  and  $s \in \{0, 1\}$ ; they are chosen to be annular, atoroidal, and pairwise non-homeomorphic. (One such family is that of non-trivial, non-trefoil twist knots.) One chooses a function  $\varphi(n)$  with values in  $\{0, 1\}$ , i.e. a sequence of 0's and 1's indexed by  $n$ , and constructs a 3-manifold  $M[\varphi]$  by embedding  $X_{n,\varphi(n)}$  in  $M_n - \text{int } M_{n-1}$  so that  $\partial X_{n,\varphi(n)}$  is incompressible in  $M_n - \text{int } M_{n-1}$  (but is compressible in  $M_n$ ). The idea is to do this in such a way that for "large" compact sets  $C$  in  $\widetilde{M}[\varphi]$  one has components of  $p^{-1}(X_{n,\varphi(n)})$  which lie in  $\widetilde{M} - C$  and have incompressible boundary in  $\widetilde{M} - C$  for "large" values of  $n$ ; moreover, every knot exterior having these properties should be homeomorphic to some  $X_{n,\varphi(n)}$ . Thus if  $\widetilde{M}[\varphi]$  and  $\widetilde{M}[\psi]$  are homeomorphic one must have  $\varphi(n) = \psi(n)$  for "large"  $n$ . One then notes that there are uncountably many functions which are pairwise inequivalent under this relation.

We proceed to the details. First assume  $\varphi$  is fixed, so we can write  $s = \varphi(n)$ . The most innocuous place to embed  $X_{n,s}$  is in  $R_n - \text{int } R_{n-1}$  since this space is common to all our constructions. Recall that this space contains arcs  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  or, if  $G$  is a non-trivial free product, arcs  $\lambda_1^i, \lambda_2^i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ; call this collection of arcs  $\lambda$ . We wish  $X_{n,s}$  to lie in the complement of  $\lambda$  in such a way that it is poly-excellent in  $R_n - \text{int } (R_{n-1} \cup X_{n,s})$ . We revise the construction of  $\lambda$  from section 6 as follows. Let  $B_0$  and  $B_1$  be 3-balls. Choose disjoint disks  $D_r$  and  $D'_r$  in  $\partial B_r$ . Let  $\zeta_r$  be a simple closed curve in  $\partial B_r - (D_r \cup D'_r)$  which separates  $D_r$  from  $D'_r$ . Let  $A_r$  and  $A'_r$  be the annuli into which  $\zeta_r$  splits the annulus  $\partial B_r - \text{int } (D_r \cup D'_r)$ , with the notation chosen

so that  $A_r \cap D_r = \emptyset$ . Let  $\tau_r$  be a poly-excellent  $(4k+4)$ -tangle in  $B_r$  which is the union of  $(2k+2)$ -tangles  $\rho_r$  and  $\rho'_r$  satisfying the following conditions. Each component of  $\rho_0$  runs from  $\text{int } D_0$  to  $\text{int } A'_0$ . Each component of  $\rho_1$  runs from  $\text{int } A'_1$  to  $\text{int } D'_1$ . Each component of  $\rho'_0$  runs from  $\text{int } D'_0$  to itself. Each component of  $\rho'_1$  runs from  $\text{int } D'_1$  to  $\text{int } D_1$ . We then glue  $A'_0$  to  $A'_1$  and  $D'_0$  to  $D'_1$  so as to obtain a space homeomorphic to a 3-ball minus the interior of an unknotted solid torus contained in the interior of the 3-ball. The 2-sphere boundary component is  $D_0 \cup D_1$ ; the torus boundary component is  $A_0 \cup A_1$ . The gluing is done so that the endpoints of the arcs match up to give a system  $\lambda^+$  of  $2k+2$  arcs. Each arc in this system consists of an arc of  $\rho_0$  followed by an arc of  $\rho_1$  followed by an arc of  $\rho'_0$  followed by an arc of  $\rho'_1$ . We then glue  $X_{n,s}$  to this space along their torus boundaries so as to obtain a 3-ball  $B$ . We then apply the construction of section 6 to  $\lambda^+$  to get a poly-excellent system  $\lambda$  of arcs in  $R_n - \text{int } R_{n-1}$ . It is easily seen that this 3-manifold is nice and that  $\partial X_{n,s}$  is, up to isotopy, the unique incompressible non- $\partial$ -parallel torus in it;  $\partial X_{n,s}$  is also, up to isotopy, the unique incompressible torus in the exterior  $K_\sigma$  of any non-empty union  $\sigma$  of components of  $\lambda$ .

**Lemma 7.1.** *If  $\widetilde{M}[\varphi]$  and  $\widetilde{M}[\psi]$  are homeomorphic then there is an index  $N$  such that  $\varphi(n) = \psi(n)$  for all  $n \geq N$ .*

*Proof.* Consider  $\widetilde{M}$ .  $Y = C_n^m - \text{int } C_{n-1}^m$  contains copies of  $K_\sigma$  for various choices of  $\sigma$ . The closure of the complement in  $Y$  of these copies consists of excellent 3-manifolds which meet the copies along incompressible planar surfaces. It follows that the various copies of  $\partial X_{n,s}$  in  $Y$  are, up to isotopy and for  $n \geq 3$ , the unique incompressible tori in  $Y$ . The incompressibility of  $\partial C_n^m$  in  $\widetilde{M} - \text{int } C_n^m$  implies that these tori are also incompressible in  $\widetilde{M} - \text{int } C_{n-1}^m$ .

Suppose  $T$  is an incompressible torus in  $\widetilde{M} - \text{int } C_{n-1}^m$ . Then  $T$  lies in  $\widetilde{M}_{n+q}$  for some  $q \geq 0$ . The exterior of  $C_{n+q}^m$  in  $\widetilde{M}_{n+q}$  consists of copies of  $D \times \mathbf{R}$  and  $\mathbf{R}^2 \times [0, 1]$  to which disjoint 1-handles have been attached. It meets  $C_{n+q}^m$  in a set of disjoint disks. It follows that  $T$  can be isotoped into  $C_{n+q}^m - \text{int } C_{n-1}^m$ . Since  $\partial C_{n+u}^m$  for  $1 \leq u < q$  is not a torus it is easily seen that  $T$  can be isotoped into some  $C_v^m - \text{int } C_{v-1}^m$  and thus is isotopic to some copy of  $\partial X_{v,\varphi(v)}$ . Thus any knot exterior  $X$  incompressibly embedded in  $\widetilde{M} - \text{int } C_{n-1}^m$  is homeomorphic to some  $X_{v,\varphi(v)}$ .

Now consider two different functions  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ . We will show that if  $\widetilde{M}[\varphi]$  and  $\widetilde{M}[\psi]$  are homeomorphic then there is an  $N$  such that  $\varphi(n) = \psi(n)$  for all  $n \geq N$ . Suppose  $h : \widetilde{M}[\varphi] \rightarrow \widetilde{M}[\psi]$  is a homeomorphism. Distinguish the various submanifolds arising in the construction of these two manifolds by appending  $[\varphi]$  and  $[\psi]$ , respectively. For  $n \geq 2$  there are incompressibly embedded copies  $\widetilde{X}_{n,\varphi(n)}$  of  $X_{n,\varphi(n)}$  in  $\widetilde{M}[\varphi] - \text{int } C_1^1[\varphi]$ . There is an index  $\ell$  such that  $h(C_1^1[\varphi]) \subseteq \text{int } C_\ell^\ell[\psi]$ . By construction  $\cup_{n \geq 2} \widetilde{X}_{n,\varphi(n)}$  is end-proper in  $\widetilde{M}[\varphi]$ , so there is an index  $N$  such that for all  $n \geq$

$N$  we have  $h(\tilde{X}_{n,\varphi(n)}) \subseteq \tilde{M}[\psi] - \text{int } C_\ell^\ell[\psi]$ . Since  $h(\partial\tilde{X}_{n,\varphi(n)})$  is incompressible in  $\tilde{M}[\psi] - \text{int } h(C_1^1[\psi])$  it is incompressible in the smaller set  $\tilde{M}[\psi] - \text{int } C_\ell^\ell[\psi]$ . Thus it is homeomorphic to  $X_{v,\psi(v)}$  for some  $v > \ell$ . Since the knot exteriors are pairwise non-homeomorphic we must have  $n = v$  and  $\varphi(n) = \psi(v) = \psi(n)$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Hass, H. Rubinstein, and P. Scott, *Compactifying coverings of closed 3-manifolds*, J. Differential Geometry 30 (1989), 817–832.
- [2] J. Hempel, *3-Manifolds*, Ann. of Math. Studies, No. 86, Princeton, (1976).
- [3] W. Jaco, *Lectures on three-manifold topology*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Math., No. 43, Amer. Math. Soc. (1980).
- [4] D. R. McMillan, Jr., *Some contractible open 3-manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 102 (1962), 373–382.
- [5] W. Meeks, L. Simon, S. T. Yau, *Embedded minimal surfaces, exotic spheres, and manifolds with positive Ricci curvature*, Annals of Math, 116 (1982), 621–659.
- [6] R. Myers, *Excellent 1-manifolds in compact 3-manifolds*, Topology Appl. 49 (1993), 115–127.
- [7] R. Myers, *Attaching boundary planes to irreducible open 3-manifolds*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2), to appear.
- [8] R. Myers, *End sums of irreducible open 3-manifolds*, Oklahoma State University Mathematics Department Preprint Series (1996).
- [9] R. Myers, *Contractible open 3-manifolds which non-trivially cover only non-compact 3-manifolds*, Oklahoma State University Mathematics Department Preprint Series (1996).
- [10] R. Myers, *Contractible open 3-manifolds with free covering translation groups*, Oklahoma State University Mathematics Department Preprint Series (1996).
- [11] P. Scott, *Compact submanifolds of 3-manifolds*, J. London Math. Soc. 7 (1973), 246–250.
- [12] P. Scott and T. Tucker, *Some examples of exotic non-compact 3-manifolds*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 40 (1989), 481–499.
- [13] F. Tinsley and D. Wright, *Some contractible open manifolds and coverings of manifolds in dimension three*, Topology Appl., to appear.
- [14] T. Tucker, *Non-compact 3-manifolds and the missing-boundary problem*, Topology 13 (1974), 267–273.
- [15] F. Waldhausen, *On irreducible 3-manifolds which are sufficiently large*, Ann. of Math., 87 (1968), 56–88.
- [16] J. H. C. Whitehead, *A certain open manifold whose group is unity*, Quart. J. Math. 6 (1935), 268–279.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY, STILLWATER, OK 74078  
*E-mail address:* myersr@math.okstate.edu